Partial boundary value problems on finite networks

Cristina Araúz¹, Ángeles Carmona¹ and Andrés M. Encinas¹



Seminari Informal de Matemàtiques de Barcelona

¹Dept. Matemàtica Aplicada III Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona

(ロ) (部) (E) (E) E

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

 $\Gamma = (V, c)$ network, c conductances on the edges



Partial BVPs on finite networks

3

・ロン ・聞と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

 $\Gamma = (V, c)$ network, c conductances on the edges

 $F \subset V$ proper and connected subset, $\delta(F)$ boundary of F



3

 $\Gamma = (V, c)$ network, c conductances on the edges

 $F \subset V$ proper and connected subset, $\delta(F)$ boundary of F



< 17 > <

 $\Gamma = (V, c)$ network, c conductances on the edges

 $F \subset V$ proper and connected subset, $\delta(F)$ boundary of F



 $\Gamma = (V, c)$ network, c conductances on the edges

 $F \subset V$ proper and connected subset, $\delta(F)$ boundary of F

 $A, B \subset \delta(F)$ non-empty subsets, $A \cup B \neq \emptyset$ $R = \delta(F) \setminus (A \cup B)$

partition of the boundary



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

æ

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

 \rightarrow Main objective: to obtain the conductances of the network by means of solving partial boundary value problems (BVPs)

< 47 > <

 \longrightarrow Main objective: to obtain the conductances of the network by means of solving partial boundary value problems (BVPs)

 \rightsquigarrow We assume the network is in an electrical equilibrium state

 \longrightarrow Main objective: to obtain the conductances of the network by means of solving partial boundary value problems (BVPs)

 \rightsquigarrow We assume the network is in an electrical equilibrium state

 \rightsquigarrow We assume some information on the boundary to be known, as it can be phisically obtained from electrical boundary measurements

 \rightarrow Main objective: to obtain the conductances of the network by means of solving partial boundary value problems (BVPs)

 \rightsquigarrow We assume the network is in an electrical equilibrium state

 \rightsquigarrow We assume some information on the boundary to be known, as it can be phisically obtained from electrical boundary measurements

However, instead of having classical boundary information (simple information in all the boundary) we assume to have

R simple information

- A double information
- B no information at all!

 \rightsquigarrow The Inverse BVPs arised in 1950 due to Calderón's work

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

 \rightsquigarrow The Inverse BVPs arised in 1950 due to Calderón's work

→ Medical purposes: *Electrical Impedance Tomography*



3

イロト イヨト イヨト

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



$\bar{F} = F \cup \delta(F)$

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 8 / 45

3

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



normal derivative

SIMBa, 26/11/2012

8 / 45

イロト 不得 とうき とうとう ほう

 $\mathcal{L}_q(u) = \mathcal{L}(u) + qu$ Schrödinger operator of Γ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

 $\mathcal{L}_q(u) = \mathcal{L}(u) + qu$ Schrödinger operator of Γ

 $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^+(\overline{F}) \quad \text{weight on } \overline{F} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad$

$$\sum_{x\in\overline{F}}\omega^2(x)=1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

 $\mathcal{L}_q(u) = \mathcal{L}(u) + qu$ Schrödinger operator of Γ

 $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^+(\overline{F}) \quad \text{weight on } \overline{F} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{x \in \overline{F}} \omega^2(x) = 1$

 $q_{\omega} = -\omega^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\omega)$ Potential given by ω

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ● ●

 $\mathcal{L}_q(u) = \mathcal{L}(u) + qu$ Schrödinger operator of Γ

 $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^+(\overline{F}) \quad \text{weight on } \overline{F} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{x \in \overline{F}} \omega^2(x) = 1$

 $q_{\omega} = -\omega^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\omega)$ Potential given by ω

Lemma (Bendito, Carmona, Encinas 2005) \mathcal{L}_q positive definite on $\mathcal{C}(F) \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a weight ω such that $q \ge q_\omega$

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三回 - のへで

 $\mathcal{L}_q(u) = \mathcal{L}(u) + qu$ Schrödinger operator of Γ

 $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^+(\overline{F}) \quad \text{weight on } \overline{F} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{x \in \overline{F}} \omega^2(x) = 1$

 $q_{\omega} = -\omega^{-1} \mathcal{L}(\omega)$ Potential given by ω

Lemma (Bendito, Carmona, Encinas 2005) \mathcal{L}_q positive definite on $\mathcal{C}(F) \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a weight ω such that $q \ge q_\omega$

 \rightsquigarrow We work with potentials of the form $q = q_{\omega} + \lambda$, where $\lambda \geq 0$

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三回 - のへで

Partial Dirichlet-Neumann boundary value problems

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 10 / 45



Definition (Partial Dirichlet-Neumann BVP on F)

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathbf{n}_r} = g$ on A

$$u = f$$
 on $A \cup R$

- R simple information
- A double information
- *B* no information at all!

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



Definition (Homogeneous partial Dirichlet-Neumann BVP)

R

$$\mathcal{L}_{q}(u_{h}) = 0 \quad \text{on } F$$
$$\frac{\partial u_{h}}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 \quad \text{on } A$$
$$u_{h} = 0 \quad \text{on } A \cup$$

its solutions are a vector

subspace of $\mathcal{C}(F \cup B)$ that we

denote by \mathcal{V}_B

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

3



Definition (*Adjoint* partial Dirichlet-Neumann BVP)

R

$$\mathcal{L}_{q}(u_{a}) = 0 \quad on \ F$$
$$\frac{\partial u_{a}}{\partial n_{F}} = 0 \quad on \ B$$
$$u_{a} = 0 \quad on \ B \cup$$

its solutions are a vector

subspace of $\mathcal{C}(F \cup A)$ that we

denote by \mathcal{V}_A

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

3

Remember our partial BVP

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

・日本 ・日本 ・日本

Remember our partial BVP

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

Theorem

 $|A| - |B| = \dim \mathcal{V}_A - \dim \mathcal{V}_B$

3

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Remember our partial BVP

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

Theorem

$$|A| - |B| = \dim \mathcal{V}_A - \dim \mathcal{V}_B$$

 \rightsquigarrow Existence of solution for any data h, g, $f \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}_A = \{0\}$

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 12 / 45

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Remember our partial BVP

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

Theorem

$$|A| - |B| = \dim \mathcal{V}_A - \dim \mathcal{V}_B$$

→ Existence of solution for any data h, g, $f \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}_A = \{0\}$ → Uniqueness of solution for any data h, g, $f \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}_B = \{0\}$

Remember our partial BVP

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

Theorem

$$|A| - |B| = \dim \mathcal{V}_A - \dim \mathcal{V}_B$$

 \rightsquigarrow Existence of solution for any data h, g, $f \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}_A = \{0\}$

 \rightsquigarrow Uniqueness of solution for any data h, g, $f \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}_B = \{0\}$

 $\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} In \text{ particular, if } |A| = |B| \text{ then} \\ \text{existence } \Leftrightarrow \text{ uniqueness } \Leftrightarrow \text{ the homogeneous problem has } u = 0 \\ \text{as its unique solution}$

Remember our partial BVP

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_\mathsf{F}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

 \longrightarrow We work with boundaries where |A| = |B| and assume there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\overline{F})$

Remember our partial BVP

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_\mathsf{F}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

 \longrightarrow We work with boundaries where |A| = |B| and assume there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\overline{F})$

? Question

Can we find the solution?

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

く 戸 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Remember our partial BVP

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

 \longrightarrow We work with boundaries where |A| = |B| and assume there exists a unique solution $u \in C(\overline{F})$

? Question

Can we find the solution?

♀ Remark

We need Green and Poisson operators!

Classical Green and Poisson operators

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト
\rightsquigarrow The *classical Green operator* \mathcal{G}_q solves the problem

$$\left(egin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_q \Big(\mathcal{G}_q(h) \Big) = h & ext{on } F \ \mathcal{G}_q(h) = 0 & ext{on } \delta(F) \end{array}
ight)$$

・日本 ・日本 ・日本

 \rightsquigarrow The *classical Green operator* \mathcal{G}_q solves the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\mathcal{G}_q(h)\Big) = \pmb{h} & \text{ on } F\\ \\ \mathcal{G}_q(h) = 0 & \text{ on } \delta(F) \end{array} \right.$$

 \rightsquigarrow The *classical Poisson operator* \mathcal{P}_q solves the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\mathcal{P}_q(f)\Big)=0 & \text{ on } F\\ \\ \mathcal{P}_q(f)=f & \text{ on } \delta(F) \end{array} \right.$$

< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

 \rightsquigarrow The *classical Green operator* \mathcal{G}_q solves the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\mathcal{G}_q(h)\Big) = \pmb{h} & \text{ on } F \\ \\ \mathcal{G}_q(h) = 0 & \text{ on } \delta(F) \end{array} \right.$$

 \rightsquigarrow The *classical Poisson operator* \mathcal{P}_q solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\mathcal{P}_q(f)\Big) = 0 & \text{ on } F\\ \mathcal{P}_q(f) = f & \text{ on } \delta(F) \end{cases}$$

However, our problem is different on the boundary

< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

 \rightsquigarrow The *classical Green operator* \mathcal{G}_q solves the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\mathcal{G}_q(h)\Big) = \pmb{h} & \text{ on } F \\ \\ \mathcal{G}_q(h) = 0 & \text{ on } \delta(F) \end{array} \right.$$

 \rightsquigarrow The *classical Poisson operator* \mathcal{P}_q solves the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\mathcal{P}_q(f)\Big)=0 & \text{ on } F\\ \\ \mathcal{P}_q(f)=f & \text{ on } \delta(F) \end{array} \right.$$

However, our problem is different on the boundary

 \Rightarrow We need to modify these operators (we will see it later)

< 4 ₽ × <

2

・ 「 ・ ・ モ ・ ・ モ ・

Before modifying Green and Poisson operators, we need to define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map as

$$\Lambda_q(g) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_q(g)}{\partial \mathsf{n_F}} \chi_{\delta(F)} \quad \text{for all} \quad g \in \mathcal{C}(\delta(F))$$

Before modifying Green and Poisson operators, we need to define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map as

$$\Lambda_q(g) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_q(g)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} \chi_{\delta(F)} \quad \text{for all} \quad g \in \mathcal{C}(\delta(F))$$

with kernel $DN_q: \delta(F) \times \delta(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $(x, y) \longmapsto DN_q(x, y)$

$$\Lambda_q(g)(x) = \int_{\delta(F)} DN_q(x, y)g(y) \, dy$$

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 16 / 45

・ロン ・聞 と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン … ヨ

Before modifying Green and Poisson operators, we need to define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map as

$$\Lambda_q(g) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_q(g)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} \chi_{\delta(F)} \quad \text{for all} \quad g \in \mathcal{C}(\delta(F))$$

with kernel $DN_q: \delta(F) \times \delta(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $(x,y) \longmapsto DN_q(x,y)$

$$\Lambda_q(g)(x) = \int_{\delta(F)} DN_q(x, y)g(y) \, dy$$

that is, $DN_q(x,y) = \Lambda_q(\varepsilon_y)(x)$ for all $x,y \in \delta(F)$

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ▲ 画 ▶ ● のへの

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map - a little remark

Definition (Schur complement)

 $A \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(\mathbb{R})$, $B \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times l}(\mathbb{R})$, $C \in \mathcal{M}_{l \times k}(\mathbb{R})$ and $D \in \mathcal{M}_{l \times l}(\mathbb{R})$ with D non-singular

The Schur Complement of D on M, where $M = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$, is

$${}^{M}/_{D} = A - BD^{-1}C \in \mathcal{M}_{k \times k}(\mathbb{R})$$

< 47 ▶

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map - a little remark

Definition (Schur complement)

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad {}^M \big/_D = A - B D^{-1} C$$

Theorem

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map kernel DN_q can be expressed as a Schur complement:

$$\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\delta(\mathsf{F});\delta(\mathsf{F})) = \left. {}^{\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{q}}(\overline{\mathsf{F}};\overline{\mathsf{F}})} \right/_{\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{F};\mathsf{F})}$$

3

Dirichlet-to-Neumann map - a little remark

Definition (Schur complement)

$$M = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad {}^{M} \big/_{D} = A - B D^{-1} C$$

Theorem

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann map kernel DN_q can be expressed as a Schur complement:

$$\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\delta(\mathsf{F});\delta(\mathsf{F})) = \left. {}^{\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{q}}(\overline{\mathsf{F}};\overline{\mathsf{F}})} \right/_{\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{F};\mathsf{F})}$$

Corollary

If $P,Q \subseteq \delta(F)$, then

$$\mathsf{DN}_q(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{Q}) = \left. {^{L_q(\mathsf{P} \cup \mathsf{F};\mathsf{Q} \cup \mathsf{F})}} \right/_{L_q(\mathsf{F};\mathsf{F})}$$

- 3

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

3

A D > A P > A B > A B >

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

Using the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, we can translate

Theorem

$$|A| - |B| = \dim \mathcal{V}_A - \dim \mathcal{V}_B$$

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

$$\mathcal{L}_{q}(u) = h \quad \text{on } F$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \quad \text{on } A$$
$$u = f \quad \text{on } A \cup R$$

Into

Theorem

- \rightsquigarrow It has solution for any data $\ \Leftrightarrow \ \mathsf{DN}_q(\mathsf{B};\mathsf{A})$ has maximum range
- \rightsquigarrow It has uniqueness of solution for any data $\ \Leftrightarrow \ DN_q(A;B)$ has maximum range
- $\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\longrightarrow} In \text{ particular, if } |A| = |B| \text{ then it has a unique solution for any data} \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{DN}_q(A;B) \text{ non-singular } \Leftrightarrow \mathsf{DN}_q(B;A) \text{ non-singular}$

- ∢ ศ⊒ ▶

$$\mathcal{L}_{q}(u) = h \quad \text{on } F$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \quad \text{on } A$$
$$u = f \quad \text{on } A \cup R$$

Into

Theorem

- \rightsquigarrow It has solution for any data \Leftrightarrow DN_q(B;A) has maximum range
- \rightsquigarrow It has uniqueness of solution for any data $\ \Leftrightarrow \ DN_q(A;B)$ has maximum range
- $\begin{array}{l} \rightsquigarrow \quad \textit{In particular, if } |A| = |B| \textit{ then it has a unique solution for any data} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{DN}_q(A;B) \textit{ non-singular } \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{DN}_q(B;A) \textit{ non-singular} \end{array}$

 \rightsquigarrow From now on, we assume that $DN_q(A;B)$ is invertible

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = h$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

The unique solution of

can be expressed as $u = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h) + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g) + \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(f)$ on \overline{F}

3

< 🗗 🕨

The unique solution of
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q(u)=h & \text{ on } F\\ \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}}=g & \text{ on } A\\ \\ u=f & \text{ on } A\cup R \end{array} \right.$$

can be expressed as $u = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h) + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g) + \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(f)$ on \overline{F} , where

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)\Big) = h \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h) = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)\Big) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g) = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h)\Big) = 0 & \text{on } F \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 & \text{on } A \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h) = f & \text{on } A \cup R \end{cases}$$

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 21 / 45

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

The unique solution of
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q(u) = h & \text{ on } F \\ \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g & \text{ on } A \\ \\ u = f & \text{ on } A \cup R \end{array} \right.$$

can be expressed as $u = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h) + \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g) + \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(f)$ on \overline{F} , where

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)\Big) = h \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h) = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)\Big) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g) = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h)\Big) = 0 & \text{on } F \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 & \text{on } A \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h) = f & \text{on } A \cup R \end{cases}$$

Modified Green operator

21 / 45

(日) (周) (日) (日)

The unique solution of $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q(u) = h & \text{ on } F \\ \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g & \text{ on } A \\ \\ u = f & \text{ on } A \cup R \end{array} \right.$

can be expressed as $u=\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)+\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)+\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(f)$ on \overline{F} , where

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)\Big) = h \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h) = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)\Big) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g) = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\Big(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h)\Big) = 0 & \text{on } F \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 & \text{on } A \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h) = f & \text{on } A \cup R \end{cases}$$

operator

Modified Green Modified Neumann operator

21 / 45

- ロ ト - 4 同 ト - 4 回 ト - - - 回

The unique solution of $\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q(u) = h & \text{ on } F \\\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g & \text{ on } A \\\\ u = f & \text{ on } A \cup R \end{cases}$

can be expressed as $u=\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)+\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)+\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(f)$ on \overline{F} , where

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)\right) = h \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_q(h) = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)\right) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_q(g) = 0 \end{cases} \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_q\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h)\right) = 0 & \text{on } F \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h)}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = 0 & \text{on } A \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_q(h) = f & \text{on } A \cup R \end{cases}$$

operator

Modified Green Modified Neumann operator

Modified Poisson operator

SIMBa, 26/11/2012

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

 \leadsto We express these modified operators in terms of the classical ones and the matrix $\mathsf{DN}_q(A;B)$

★ Ξ ► < Ξ</p>

 \leadsto We express these modified operators in terms of the classical ones and the matrix $\mathsf{DN}_q(A;B)$

We can not express them in operator terms, as we need to invert a matrix. However, we can do it in matricial terms

Theorem

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{q}}}(F;F) &= \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{q}}(F;F) - \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{q}}(F;B) \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(A;B)^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{q}}(A;F) \cdot \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{q}}(F;F) \\ \widetilde{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{q}}}(A \cup R;F) &= 0 \\ \widetilde{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{q}}}(B;F) &= -\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(A;B)^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{q}}(A;F) \cdot \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{q}}(F;F) \end{split}$$

3

・ロン ・聞と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

Theorem

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 23 / 45

3

・ロン ・聞と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

Theorem

3

く 戸 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Theorem

→ They can be expressed in terms of the *classical* Green and Poisson operators and of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

Partial inverse boundary value problems on finite networks

 \rightsquigarrow We want to obtain the conductances by solving partial BVPs

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 25 / 45

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

 \sim We want to obtain the conductances by solving partial BVPs \sim We assume the network is in an equilibrium state

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = 0$$
 on F
 $rac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g$ on A
 $u = f$ on $A \cup R$

→ We want to obtain the conductances by solving partial BVPs
 → We assume the network is in an equilibrium state

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q(u) = 0 & \text{ on } F \\ \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g & \text{ on } A \\ \\ u = f & \text{ on } A \cup R \end{array} \right.$

 \longrightarrow We also assume the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map Λ_q to be known, as it can be phisically obtained from electrical boundary measurements

→ We want to obtain the conductances by solving partial BVPs
 → We assume the network is in an equilibrium state

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{L}_q(u) = 0 & \text{ on } F \\ \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g & \text{ on } A \\ \\ u = f & \text{ on } A \cup R \end{array} \right.$

 \longrightarrow We also assume the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map Λ_q to be known, as it can be phisically obtained from electrical boundary measurements

Remark (Alessandrini 1998, Mandache 2001) This problem is severelly ill-posed!

- ロ ト - 4 同 ト - 4 回 ト - - - 回

$$\mathcal{L}_q(u) = 0 \quad \text{on } F$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \quad \text{on } A$$
$$u = f \quad \text{on } A \cup R$$

Remember that under our conditions (|A| = |B| and $DN_q(A; B)$ invertible) this problem has a unique solution

< 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

$$\mathcal{L}_{q}(u) = 0 \quad \text{on } F$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \quad \text{on } A$$
$$u = f \quad \text{on } A \cup R$$

Remember that under our conditions (|A| = |B| and DN_q(A; B) invertible) this problem has a unique solution

Corollary

The unique solution is characterized by the equations

$$\mathsf{J}_{_{\mathsf{B}}} = \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{B})^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{g} - \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{B})^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{A}\cup\mathsf{R}) \cdot \mathsf{f} \quad \textit{on } B$$

 $u(x) = \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{A}\cup\mathsf{R})\cdot\mathsf{f} + \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{B})\cdot\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{B}}$ for all $x \in F$

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 26 / 45

(日)

$$\mathcal{L}_{q}(u) = 0 \quad \text{ on } F$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \quad \text{ on } A$$
$$u = f \quad \text{ on } A \cup R$$

Remember that under our conditions (|A| = |B| and DN_q(A; B) invertible) this problem has a unique solution

Corollary

The unique solution is characterized by the equations

$$\mathbf{u}_{_{\mathrm{B}}} = \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{B})^{-1}\cdot\mathsf{g} - \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{B})^{-1}\cdot\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{A}\cup\mathsf{R})\cdot\mathsf{f}$$
 on B

 $u(x) = \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{A}\cup\mathsf{R})\cdot\mathsf{f} + \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{B})\cdot\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{B}}$ for all $x\in F$

♀ Remark

Althogh u is not determined yet on F,

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

$$\mathcal{L}_{q}(u) = 0 \quad \text{ on } F$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}}} = g \quad \text{ on } A$$
$$u = f \quad \text{ on } A \cup R$$

Remember that under our conditions (|A| = |B| and DN_q(A; B) invertible) this problem has a unique solution

Corollary

The unique solution is characterized by the equations

$$\mathbf{J}_{_{\mathbf{B}}} = \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{B})^{-1}\cdot\mathsf{g} - \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{B})^{-1}\cdot\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{A}\cup\mathsf{R})\cdot\mathsf{f}$$
 on B

 $u(x) = \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{A}\cup\mathsf{R})\cdot\mathsf{f} + \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{B})\cdot\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{B}}$ for all $x\in F$

♀ Remark

Althogh u is not determined yet on F,

 $u_{\rm B}$ gives the values of the solution on B!

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 26 / 45

- ∢ ⊢⊒ →

 \rightsquigarrow However, this is not enough

- 同 ト - ヨ ト - - ヨ ト
\leadsto However, this is not enough with all these last steps we only get to know u on $\delta(F)$ and no conductances

- \leadsto However, this is not enough with all these last steps we only get to know u on $\delta(F)$ and no conductances
- → We restrict to circular planar networks to obtain some conductances

- \leadsto However, this is not enough with all these last steps we only get to know u on $\delta(F)$ and no conductances
- \rightsquigarrow We restrict to circular planar networks to obtain some conductances

planar network \Leftrightarrow it can be drawn on the plane without crossings between edges

- \leadsto However, this is not enough with all these last steps we only get to know u on $\delta(F)$ and no conductances
- → We restrict to circular planar networks to obtain some conductances

planar network \Leftrightarrow it can be drawn on the plane without crossings between edges

circular planar network planar & all the boundary vertices can be found in the same (exterior) face

27 / 45



Cristina Araúz (UPC)

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 28 / 45

3

・ロン ・聞と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン



we will consider certain circular order on the boundary

< A > < 3



a circular pair is connected through the network if there exists a set of disjoint paths between them

 \rightsquigarrow Generalization of Curtis and Morrow's results in 2000

Theorem

(P,Q) circular pair -of size k- of $\delta(F)\text{,}$ where P and Q are disjoint arcs of the boundary circle

- (P,Q) not connected through $\Gamma \Leftrightarrow \det(\mathsf{DN}_q(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{Q})) = 0.$
- (P,Q) connected through $\Gamma \Leftrightarrow (-1)^k \det (\mathsf{DN}_q(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{Q})) > 0.$

Corollary (Boundary Spike formula)

If xy is a boundary spike with $y \in \delta(F)$ and contracting xy to a single boundary vertex means breaking the connection through Γ between a circular pair (P,Q), then

$$c(x,y) = \frac{\omega(y)}{\omega(x)} \left(\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{y};\mathsf{y}) - \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{y};\mathsf{Q}) \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{Q})^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{y}) - \lambda \right)$$

Corollary (Boundary Spike formula)

If xy is a boundary spike with $y \in \delta(F)$ and contracting xy to a single boundary vertex means breaking the connection through Γ between a circular pair (P,Q), then

$$c(x,y) = \frac{\omega(y)}{\omega(x)} \left(\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{y};\mathsf{y}) - \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{y};\mathsf{Q}) \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{Q})^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{y}) - \lambda \right)$$

→ We can recover certain conductances on planar networks!

Corollary (Boundary Spike formula)

If xy is a boundary spike with $y \in \delta(F)$ and contracting xy to a single boundary vertex means breaking the connection through Γ between a circular pair (P,Q), then

$$c(x,y) = \frac{\omega(y)}{\omega(x)} \left(\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{y};\mathsf{y}) - \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{y};\mathsf{Q}) \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{Q})^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}}(\mathsf{P};\mathsf{y}) - \lambda \right)$$

→ We can recover certain conductances on planar networks!

✓ We can try to recover all the conductances in special cases: well-connected spider networks

Conductance reconstruction on well-connected spider networks

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Conductance reconstruction on w-c spider networks



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Conductance reconstruction on w-c spider networks

Remark

Taking $A = \{v_1^S, \dots, v_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^S\}$, $B = \{v_{\frac{n+1}{2}}^S, \dots, v_{n-1}^S\}$ and $R = \{v_n^S\}$ (or equivalent configurations), then A and B is a circular pair always connected through the network



\rightsquigarrow Boundary spike formula



< ₽

 \leadsto We choose $f = \varepsilon_{v_n^S}$ and g = 0

3

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

 \rightsquigarrow We choose $f = \varepsilon_{v_n^S}$ and g = 0

 \rightsquigarrow Considering problem

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{q_S}(u) &= 0 \quad \text{on } F_S \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{F}_S}} &= u = 0 \quad \text{on } A \qquad \text{then} \\ u &= 1 \quad \text{on } R = \{v_n^S\}, \end{split}$$

$$\mathsf{u}_\mathsf{B} = -\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}_\mathsf{S}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{B})^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}_\mathsf{S}}(\mathsf{A};\mathsf{v}_\mathsf{n}^\mathsf{S})$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 \rightsquigarrow Moreover, we obtain a zero zone of the solution of this BVP problem



A D > A B > A B

 \rightsquigarrow We also get to know the values of u on the neighbours of B

(人間) トイヨト イヨト

 \rightsquigarrow We also get to know the values of u on the neighbours of B

$$\mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{B})} = \mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{B}} - \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{S}}}(\mathsf{B};\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{B}))^{-1} \cdot \left(\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{S}}}(\mathsf{B};\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{n}}^{\mathsf{S}}) + \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{S}}}(\mathsf{B};\mathsf{B}) \cdot \mathsf{u}_{\mathsf{B}}\right)$$

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

 \rightsquigarrow We also get to know the values of u on the neighbours of B

$$\begin{split} u_{\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{B})} &= u_{\mathsf{B}} - \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{S}}}(\mathsf{B};\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{B}))^{-1} \cdot \left(\mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{S}}}(\mathsf{B};\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{n}}^{\mathsf{S}}) + \mathsf{DN}_{\mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{S}}}(\mathsf{B};\mathsf{B}) \cdot u_{\mathsf{B}}\right) \\ &\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \end{split}$$

already known!

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 37 / 45

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

 \rightsquigarrow With this information, we obtain two new conductances



Cristina Araúz (UPC)

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 38 / 45

 \leadsto ...and rotating the BVP, we obtain more conductances



< 一型

 \rightsquigarrow Now we can even obtain two more conductances



Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

SIMBa, 26/11/2012

米国 とくほとくほど

40 / 45

 \rightsquigarrow ...and rotating the BVP again,



< 一型 .

Reconstruction - Step 9 and forward

 \rightsquigarrow Working analogously, we finally get all the conductances



Reconstruction - Step 9 and forward

 \rightsquigarrow Working analogously, we finally get all the conductances



Reconstruction - Step 9 and forward

 \rightsquigarrow Working analogously, we finally get all the conductances



Cristina Araúz (UPC)

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 44 / 45

< 一型

Gràcies!

Cristina Araúz (UPC)

Partial BVPs on finite networks

SIMBa, 26/11/2012 45 / 45

3

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト