

# Elliptic PDEs 2: Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

Damià Torres Latorre

Universitat de Barcelona

20th May 2020

## How do we solve PDEs?

- Find a space of functions where existence and uniqueness are viable.
- Prove that, in fact, the solutions are in more desirable spaces.

For example, a good space to have existence and uniqueness is  $L^2$  or  $H^1$ , and a good space to have finally the solutions could be  $C^1$  or  $C^\infty$ .

# Introduction: Weak Topology

## Definition

Let  $E$  be a Banach space, and  $E'$  the space of continuous linear functionals from  $E$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say  $f_n$  is weak convergent to  $f$  in  $E$  if, for any  $\phi \in E'$ ,  $\phi(f_n) \rightarrow \phi(f)$ . We note  $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ .

## Example

$E = L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ ,  $f_n(x) = \sin(nx)$ . Let  $\phi \in E'$ .  $\phi(f_n) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_n g$ ,  $g \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ , therefore  $g \in L^1(-\pi, \pi)$ . By the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma,  $\phi(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Hence we say  $f_n \rightharpoonup 0$ .

# Introduction: Compact Operators

## Definition

Let  $E, F$  be Banach spaces, a (linear) operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is said to be compact, if  $\overline{T(B_E)}$  is a compact set in  $F$ .

## Example

- Finite rank operators are compact.
- *Integral* operators are usually compact.

## Proposition

Let  $T : E \rightarrow F$  be compact, and let  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $E$ . Then,  $T(f_n) \rightarrow T(f)$  in the strong (norm) topology of  $F$ .

## Introduction: $H^1(\Omega)$

### Definition

Let  $\Omega$  be a Lipschitz domain.

$$H^1 = \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } |f|, \|\nabla f\| \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

- $H^1(\Omega)$  is a Hilbert space, with the product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} fg + \nabla f \cdot \nabla g$$

- $\text{Tr} : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$  is well defined, continuous and compact, and for  $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\text{Tr } u = u|_{\partial\Omega}$ .
- $i : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ , the inclusion, is compact.

### Definition

$$H_0^1 = \{f \in H^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } \text{Tr}(f) = 0\}$$

## Introduction: Maximum Principle

### Theorem (Maximum principle in weak form)

Let  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$   $\begin{cases} -\Delta u \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$  Then,  $u \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

We have to understand  $-\Delta u \geq 0$  in the weak sense, this is, integrating by parts and taking  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $v \geq 0$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} -\Delta u \cdot v = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \geq 0$$

## Introduction: Maximum Principle

### Proof

Set  $u = u^+ - u^-$ , where  $u^+, u^- \geq 0$  and  $u^+ u^- = 0$ .

$\nabla u = \nabla u^+ - \nabla u^-$ . Then, putting  $v = u^-$  (notice  $\text{Tr}(u^-) = 0$ , and then  $u^- \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ),

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^- = - \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla u^-\|^2 \geq 0$$

Then  $\nabla u^- = 0$ , so  $u^- = 0$  and finally we have  $u = u^+ \geq 0$ .

## The Laplacian: The Dirichlet Problem

Find a function  $u$  in  $\Omega$  that is a solution of  $\Delta u = 0$  with a prescribed boundary value  $u = g$  in  $\partial\Omega$ .

Depending on the methods used, the space of functions and the exact definitions of  $\partial\Omega$  can be different.



## The Laplacian: Energy Methods

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Let  $g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ . Let  $H_g^1(\Omega) = \{f \in H^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } \text{Tr}(f) = g\}$ .

We define the *Dirichlet energy* of a function:

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2$$

If we find a minimizer  $v$  of  $E$  in  $H_g^1(\Omega)$ , then  $v$  will be a solution for the Dirichlet problem.

## The Laplacian: Energy Methods 2

A necessary condition for a minimizer  $v$  is, for all  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$E(v) \leq E(v + t\varphi) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}E(v + t\varphi) = 0 \text{ at } t = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v + t\nabla\varphi|^2 &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (2t\nabla v \cdot \nabla\varphi + t^2|\nabla\varphi|^2) = \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla v \cdot \nabla\varphi = -2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta v \varphi \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta v = 0$$

## The Laplacian: Energy Methods 3

### Theorem (Existence and uniqueness)

*Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain, and suppose  $H_g^1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exists a unique solution  $v$  for the Dirichlet problem.*

### Existence

Let  $E_0$  be the infimum of  $E(w)$  in  $w \in H_g^1(\Omega)$ . Take  $u_k \in H_g^1(\Omega)$  such that  $E(u_k) \rightarrow E_0$ . As  $\nabla u_k$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2$ ,  $u_k$  are uniformly bounded in  $H^1$ , and then there is a partial subsequence convergent in  $L^2$  and weakly convergent in  $H^1$  to a limit  $v$ , because the inclusion is compact. Also,  $\text{Tr}$  is compact, so  $\text{Tr}(v) = g$  as well. By Fatou's lemma,  $E(v) \leq \liminf E(u_k)$ , and then  $v$  is a minimizer.

## The Laplacian: Energy Methods 4

### Uniqueness (energy)

The functional  $E$  is strictly convex, hence it can only attain one minimum because  $H_g^1$  is a convex set. This implies the minimizer must be unique.

If  $v$  is a solution, then putting  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$E(v + u) = \int_{\Omega} \|\nabla v\|^2 + 2\nabla v \cdot \nabla u + \|\nabla u\|^2 = E(v) + 0 + E(u)$$

Hence  $E(v) \leq E(v + u)$  for all suitable  $u$  and  $v$  is a minimizer. Then the solution is unique.

### Uniqueness (comparison)

Suppose there are two solutions  $v_1, v_2$ . Consider the function  $w = v_1 - v_2 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , that satisfies  $\Delta w = 0$ . We can apply the maximum principle to  $w$  and  $-w$ , and we get  $w = 0$ .

# The Laplacian: Viscosity Methods

## Definition

A function  $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  is called *subharmonic* if for every  $v \in C^2$  that touches it from above in  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , meaning  $v \geq u$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $v(x_0) = u(x_0)$ ,  $\Delta v(x_0) \geq 0$ .

## Example

- $u(x) = |x|$  is subharmonic in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $u : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $u(x) = 1 - \chi_{[-1,0]}$  satisfies the condition but it is not subharmonic because it is not continuous.

We say a continuous function  $w$  is *superharmonic* if  $-w$  is subharmonic.

We say a continuous function  $w$  is *harmonic* if it is subharmonic and superharmonic.

## The Laplacian: Viscosity Methods 2

### Proposition

If  $u_1, u_2$  are subharmonic,  $\max(u_1, u_2)$  is subharmonic as well.

### Easy part of the proof of existence

Take the set  $S = \{u \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}) \text{ such that } u \text{ is subharmonic}\}$ .

$$v(x) = \sup_{u \in S} u(x)$$

Then  $v$  is subharmonic because it is a supremum of subharmonic functions.

The hard part is proving that  $v$  is actually harmonic.

## More General Elliptic Operators

Elliptic PDEs are generalizations of the Laplace and Poisson equations, involving operators related to the Laplacian.

$$\Delta u = \text{Tr}(D^2 u) = \text{Div}(\nabla u).$$

This identity yields two natural generalizations of the Laplace operator, named in nondivergence and divergence form.

$$\mathcal{L}u = \text{Tr}(A(x)D^2 u), \quad \mathcal{L}u = \text{Div}(A(x)\nabla u).$$

$$\exists \lambda, \Lambda > 0 \text{ such that } \lambda I \leq A(x) \leq \Lambda I$$

## Divergence Form Operators

Energy methods are preferred, because we can integrate by parts. We can recall what we did with the Laplacian and the proofs are similar. Recall

$$\int_{\Omega} -\operatorname{Div}(A(x)\nabla u)v = \int_{\Omega} A(x)\nabla u \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\Omega} (\nabla v)^{\top} A(x)\nabla u$$



## Nondivergence Form and Pucci Operators

Energy methods cannot be adapted. We need viscosity methods.

### Definition

Let  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$ . We define the Pucci operators with the ellipticity constants  $\lambda, \Lambda$ , where  $M$  is a  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix:

$$\mathcal{M}^+(M) = \Lambda \sum_{\mu_i > 0} \mu_i - \lambda \sum_{\mu_i < 0} \mu_i,$$

$$\mathcal{M}^-(M) = \Lambda \sum_{\mu_i < 0} \mu_i - \lambda \sum_{\mu_i > 0} \mu_i$$

where  $\mu_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $M$ .

## Nondivergence Form and Pucci Operators 2

### Proposition

For any  $u$  such that  $D^2u$  exists,

$$\mathcal{M}^-(D^2u) \leq \mathcal{L}u \leq \mathcal{M}^+(D^2u)$$

Then the equation  $\mathcal{L}u = 0$  can be relaxed to  $\mathcal{M}^+D^2u \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^-D^2u \leq 0$ . In certain proofs this is preferred to get rid of  $A(x)$ .

## The Obstacle Problem

Minimize the *energy* of a surface  $v : \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  above an obstacle  $\varphi$ .

$$\min \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2, \quad v \geq \varphi.$$

This is equivalent to a nonlinear elliptic PDE problem:

$$\begin{cases} v \geq \varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \Delta v \leq 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \Delta v = 0 \text{ in the set } v > \varphi. \end{cases}$$

## The Obstacle Problem: Energy Method

The proof is basically the same as for the unrestricted minimal surface, i.e., the Laplace equation. We have to change  $H_g^1(\Omega)$  by the set  $\{v \in H_g^1 \text{ such that } v \geq \varphi\}$ .

For the proof of uniqueness, we can recover the energy method or adapt the proof by the comparison principle.

## The Obstacle Problem: Viscosity Method

We must consider the least supersolution of the problem, that is, define the pointwise infimum of all functions  $w \in H_g^1$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} w \geq \varphi \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \Delta w \leq 0 \text{ in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then we can show that this function is actually harmonic when  $v > \varphi$ .

# Elliptic PDEs 2: Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

Damià Torres Latorre

Universitat de Barcelona

20th May 2020